

Fw: follow up to calculation on cost/benefit discussion

Steve Schmaltz <swschmaltz@yahoo.com>

Mon 7/6/2020 6:49 PM

To: Jackie Anderson <clflwdjapa@gmail.com>

Cc: Michael Kinney <michael.kinney@clflwd.org>; Jon Spence <jon.spence@clflwd.org>

Jackie, nice work in advancing this issue!

Steve

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: "laura.jester@kestonewaters.com" <laura.jester@kestonewaters.com>

To: 'JACKIE ANDERSON' <clflwdjapa@gmail.com>

Cc: 'Stephen Schmaltz' <swschmaltz@yahoo.com>; 'Stephen Schmaltz' <stephen.schmaltz@clflwd.org>; 'Michael Kinney' <michael.kinney@clflwd.org>; 'Emily Heinz' <emily.heinz@clflwd.org>; 'Jackie Anderson' <jackie.anderson@clflwd.org>

Sent: Monday, July 6, 2020, 05:21:27 PM CDT

Subject: RE: follow up to calculation on cost/benefit discussion

Hello Jackie,

Thank you for this further information – it's helpful! (And thank you for your kind words regarding plan development and addressing comments! I'm glad to hear the responses to comments were understandable and reasonable. 😊)

In the next couple days I will be engaging Steering Committee members on the cost/benefit calculation item and the issue regarding flooding/outletting landlocked basins. We will certainly reach out to CLFLWD engineers, as needed. We will have a recommendation on these items ready for discussion at the July 27th Policy Committee meeting.

Take care,

Laura Jester

Keystone Waters, LLC

[Laura.jester@kestonewaters.com](mailto:laura.jester@kestonewaters.com)

952-270-1990

From: JACKIE ANDERSON <clflwdjapa@gmail.com>

Sent: Wednesday, July 1, 2020 3:53 PM

To: laura.jester@kestonewaters.com

Cc: Stephen Schmaltz <swschmaltz@yahoo.com>; Stephen Schmaltz <stephen.schmaltz@clflwd.org>; Michael Kinney <michael.kinney@clflwd.org>; Emily Heinz <emily.heinz@clflwd.org>; Jackie Anderson <jackie.anderson@clflwd.org>

Subject: follow up to calculation on cost/benefit discussion

Hi Laura,

Just wanted to follow-up on the discussion of the cost/benefit calculation from Monday's LSC 1W1P Policy Committee meeting.

After the meeting, I reached out to Mike and our engineers to confirm my understanding of the calculation, and secure their agreement with my statements at the meeting.

We are in agreement that using the actual lifetime estimate for each project, rather than an arbitrary number, is the correct procedure. If any of the three inputs (i.e. (a) nutrient reduction expected, (b) cost of project implementation/construction, (c) anticipated lifetime of project before any significant repair or total replacement is required) are manipulated to achieve a standardization goal, the integrity of the outcome is compromised.)

The very purpose of the calculation is to allow the scientific data to inform the decision making process so the projects with the most benefit, and at the least cost, will be selected.

Again, we encourage you to reach out to the LSC 1W1P engineers to assist with the formula and calculations to provide consistency in this important step.

We want to thank you also for your dedicated work in guiding this plan to completion. You did an exceptional job at aggregating all of the comments, and providing an easy to follow chart format for all of us to follow and understand the consideration given to each comment.

We are looking forward to the success of the LSC 1W1P effort, and will continue to provide our watershed viewpoint along the journey.

Thanks again,

CLFLWD-LSC Workgroup

Jackie A. Anderson

CLFLWD, Vice-President

MAWD, Region III Director

612-819-6906

jackie.anderson@clflwd