

Watershed Management Plan Update

Comments on Draft Lake Management District Profiles

Manager Spence:

1. I like the idea of encapsulating a summary for each major LMD in our next plan. I think it helps focus the interested parties on the locally relevant issues and approaches to managing each LMD.
2. I looked at the MCWD Subwatershed plan sections and noticed we have not included a Issue->Driver->Strategy->Implementation Priorities chart for our LMDs. Assuming it is relatively easy to put this together for each of our four LMDs after we have written our implementation plans, then I think this chart would be very helpful for the reader of our plan. It is a nice capsulation of the rational for why we selected the implementation priorities we will eventually focus on for each LMD. I also think it will help us review that we've achieved a rational and complete implementation plan for our next plan.
3. We need to ensure all acronyms and watershed specific terms are captured in our plan glossary.

Manager Schmaltz:

1. Check the "Goals" tables for errors and format: examples
 - p5 Second Lake states goals. Are the 2010--19 Avg correct?
 - p19 Forest Lake Long Term Goals, the Secchi disc and TP numbers are split in the table. The last table on p19, should the title include 2020? "Long term District Goals 2020"...
2. Pages 6,21,29. Why so much emphasis on "secondary lakes"? Are we really going to spend \$\$ to create the same data we did for our major lakes? We have 5 resource areas in addition to "lakes" and I suspect there are higher priorities in the other areas that deserve \$\$ before we complete a lot of secondary lakes. It might be helpful to prioritize the secondary lakes to see if any of them qualify for "short term" \$\$ attention. How many residents live on the lake? Is there a Public access or is it a "private lake? How big is the tax base for the lake residents? And most important, is it key to a P train important to the WD? Is there some other unique reason that it would qualify for \$\$?
3. Forest Lake 5000 Projects, p21

The 5228 projects listed only capture what we currently have in the implementation stage and still have not met our 2020 goals.

We need to work toward the 2030 and 2040 goals which means we have to find more projects. We need to include a potential Internal load analysis /alum treatment. We also need to list future project areas and if we don't know that, we need to leave the door open to do future project activities: "Evaluate/prioritize other storm water flows into the lake to identify high return P reduction opportunities", etc.