

MINUTES
NOVEMBER 3, 2010
CLFLWD WATER MANAGEMENT PLAN TAC/CAC MEETING

Meeting started at 9:30 am

Review of Issues & Goals

- Jack Frost, Met Council – did not think that the goals necessarily matched up with the implementation actions i.e. goal says encourage yet action is more specific.
- Steve Schmaltz, FLAA – commented that previous section had objectives listed. Lisa Tilman. commented that the objectives have been turned into the implementation plan. Steve liked the idea of bringing back the objectives into the Issues and Goals section as a way of measuring progress.

Agreed to fix goals to better match the implementation actions, and make the objectives and measures more visible in the document.

- Steve Schmaltz commented that he felt recreation was still not visible enough in goal. Commented that invasive species needed to be more visible. Suggested that 3.2.1 A be changed to “Adaptively manage District lakes to protect and improve **both** water quality **and recreational utility** s appropriate to each lake.” and 3.2.2 B be changed to “Be proactive in aquatic invasive species management through education and **prevention** projects that improve **both** lake water quality **and reduce the risk of lake contamination**”. and 3.2.2 C be changed to “Preserve existing shoreland buffers and lakescaping and encourage the establishment of buffers and lakescaping **to better manage storm water runoff, especially municipal stormwater sewers, for water quality and habitat benefits.**”
- Doug Thomas – asked about consolidation within the goals. Group did not seem to have a strong opinion one way or the other
- Jack Frost – does not believe most will know what the word “adaptively” means and suggested taking it out, group agreed.

Implementation Plan

- Lisa Tilman – explained that in this section the objectives which had previously been with the issues and goals were split out into two new groups, one being on-going efforts called “programs” specific activities/projects called “projects”. Lisa went on to review page 13 of the section which showed a tabular form of how the implementation plan is structured.
- Jack Frost – commented on page 17 C. and why assessing data is done on a three year schedule. It was pointed out that this is a more intensive analysis and that the data is looked at annually.
- Doug Thomas – commented that the use of a 2000 series is confusing s it matches to well to the current years we are in. Group agreed that numbering scheme should not include a 2000 series.

- Paul Hudalla – speaking about the implementation Paul asked if a comparison has been done with the 2010 or 2011 workplan and budget of the district. Lisa Tilman commented that it had not but it is something we might consider doing.
- It was agreed to add a row with the totals at the bottom of the table as well as the top.
- Jack Frost – suggested adding in the implementation section a list of possible funding sources. Melissa Lewis agreed. Consensus seemed to be that we need to somehow identify partners and funding in relation to the different programs and projects. Agreed to modify Implementation section to add a short/concise list of partners and funding sources at the end of each section i.e. 4.5.3.
- Doug Thomas – asked the group if a project at a glance map, possibly using the 4 Lake Management Districts, which have been used by the District in some previous documents would be helpful. Group agreed that this idea should be pursued as having a map with the potential projects on it would be a good.
- Jerry Spetzman – mentioned the SWAT model that has been done in the Sunrise Rive major watershed.
- Steve Schmaltz – asked how the projects are prioritized. Lisa Tilman commented on how the table was put together and that it reflects the Board priority for the major recreational lakes and those that are impaired i.e. TMDL Study done.
- Jerry Spetzman – asked about the boat landing inspections and if the district was going to pursue in the future. Jack Frost asked if it has been successful. Jerry S. said it has been marginally successful. Steve Schmaltz commented that it was very successful on Forest Lake. It was agreed to rename the Summer Intern at Boat Launches program to the Boat Launch Monitoring program in the implementation section.
- Jerry Spetzman – asked about including the Chisago County Children’s Water Festival in the Education section. It was agreed that this effort/program should be included.
- Jack Frost – asked about the NEMO program. Doug Thomas asked the City rep’s about there thought on the need for this type of municipal training. The city rep’s commented that they are doing some training but more could not hurt. Doug Thomas agreed to check into if the CLFLWD is part of the BWSR effort to fund the program.
- Section 4.5.5 – Doug Thomas informed the group that we were going to re-name this program to say more about what it does such as Non-point Pollution Abatement Program. Question was asked about the budget for this and did it include the technical assistance in it or not. Doug Thomas commented that the T/A is in the program area of the budget. Doug agreed to make sure that this was the case and that there was adequate cost estimates to cover the T/A that is purchased from the tow conservation districts. Doug Thomas also noted that they would check on the policy for the two existing programs to make sure how the T/A is handled.
- There was some discussion and agreement that a number of activities could be lumped under the heading for 4.5.7 Technical Resource Sharing and Interagency Communication.

- Paul Hudalla – suggested adding a list of GIS layers that the CLFLWD has that are available to the cities. He used RCWD as an example of a city he works for finding out that RCWD had information that they could use.
- Steve Schmaltz – suggested to include an item under 4.5.9 to look at rough fish population/density studies i.e. radio tracking.
- Melissa Lewis- suggested that the plan include the type of metrics that are envisioned to be used for measuring progress in 4.5.10.

3000 series (projects) discussion

- Steve Schmaltz asked how is the spending by lake prioritized. Lisa Tilman commented that the general idea in the previous plan and by the Board of Managers is to start at the top of the watershed and work down. Lisa also acknowledged that those lakes that have an approved TMDL Study and Implementation plan will also receive a higher priority. Outside funding opportunities can also affect priorities on an annual and semi-annual basis. Steve Schmaltz commented that FLAA would support some effort in 2012 to move up. Jerry Spetzman asked Steve for an example of what might be done sooner. Steve mentioned the idea of doing sensitivity analyses for lakes similar to what was done for White Bear Lake in relation to zebra mussels.
- Lisa Tilman asked the group if they have any other lake specific projects in mind. Chris Klucas if the cities had any specific projects in their local plans that we should be looking at.
- Doug Thomas mentioned the need for a statement at the beginning of the lakes section to a map or something similar so that the references to sub-watersheds/projects i.e. NBL12 would be obvious to the reader.
- Comment was made to include a “rough fish management plan” in the out years.
- Jyneen Thatcher asked about Bixby Park.
- Jyneen Thatcher commented that the in the section on Wetlands it was not clear if a separate wetland inventory is being proposed or just doing MLCCS mapping. Idea was mentored that two prong approach be used with the goal of having a wetland management plan based on local inventory and function and value. It was noted that more distinction in section 4.6.4 and correlation with funding also a need to consider prioritization of wetlands.
- Melissa Lewis – suggested adding groundwater into the broader information gathering section.

Local Plan Content section

- Jack Frost – commented about WCA related information in the LWP. He noted that there is a relationship to parts of CLFLWD rules that affect wetlands such as buffers.
- Doug Borglund commented that since the beginning there has been a priority to try to not double on things between the cities and the CLFLWD.

Doug Thomas asked the group to please feel free to provide any additional thoughts or comment to him or Lisa via e-mail or phone.

Setting of next meeting date. Doug Thomas asked the group if the internet poll worked well. Most agreed so the next meeting will be scheduled using the same "doodle" poll with the goal of one last meeting during the week of January 3rd.

Meeting adjourned at 12:00 noon.

Attendance:

Doug Thomas – CLFLWD
Lisa Tilman – EOR/CLFLWD
Jack Frost – Met Council
Melissa Lewis – BWSR
Todd Udvig – WCD
Erik Anderson – WCD
Jyneen Thatcher – WCD
Paul Hudalla – WSB/City of Wyoming
Doug Borglund – City of Forest Lake
Steve Schmaltz – FLAA
Paul Hornby – Bonestroo/City of Forest Lake
Chris Klucas – MPCA
Jerry Spetzman – Chisago Co.